

Author: cruz

## Breaking News: Bill Gates Did The Same Thing With The Zika Pandemic; Zika Vaccine was Patented 7 Months Prior To The WHO Pandemic--Massive Article (Warning To Floridians)




859  
SHARES

[ShareTweet](#)

Dropping another bomb: The omnipresence of Bill Gates did the same thing with the Zika virus that he did with Covid\_19. The WHO announced Zika Pandemic in 2016 but seven months before the pandemic, the Zika vaccine was patented in India by a company funded by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. I am blasting my soul by screaming from the rooftops but it is really hard to enter the rigidity of people's mindset and breaking the old pattern of living on Mars and being detached from reality. We must come back on earth and fight. Even those who are hurt by the reality are just dancing noncommittally around the idea rather than

doing something legal and effective, let alone others who defending their legal right seems just presumptuous nonsense. (download this report at the bottom left of the page)

In July 2010, Oxitec, a British biotechnology company announced a project to release genetically modified (GM) mosquitoes, which were vastly produced in the company's farm in Brazil. The project as Oxitec stated aimed at ousting the deadly disease Dengue fever by having a type of offspring that fly but die out with no breed. The modified mosquito was supposed to automatically die in course of their flight using the sex factor as a killer. A modified male mating with a normal female would produce sterilized mosquitoes and preventing them from reproduction.





Oxitec announced the process of releasing millions of genetically engineered (GE) mosquitoes into the environment in 2010. The project received funds and released the genetically modified mosquitoes in Brazil in 2012. The company didn't test the lab mosquitoes before releasing them into the natural world and although the environmental communities were very concerned about the probable non-target effects of this experiment, in 2012, Oxitec released the generated mosquitoes in the small town of Itaberaba, in Brazil's Bahia state.








There are about 1,000 genetically engineered mosquitoes in each pot. Guilherme Trivellato of the biotech company Oxitec prepares to release them in Piracicaba, Brazil, in the hope of reducing the spread of Zika and other viruses.




The GM mosquitoes were produced by injecting the eggs of a female mosquito altered with a gene that produces sterile male mosquitoes. The modified male mosquitoes should be aggressively and massively released into nature to crowd out native males and mate with available females to produce offspring that die before they hatch. Well this should have been the process but either the official announcement was not honest or the experiment go wrong, what happened was something else.





*Composite picture of Green and  
Red fluorescent Aedes aegypti  
RIDL larvae DN1*



*GMO Mosquito eggs*


Although the company rejects the accusation while claiming that their work was aimed to decrease the disease, the **Zika virus has been spread within the exact timeline and location that matches with the spread of the same mosquitoes**. Mosquitoes were released in Brazil (2012), Malaysia (2013), and Florida (2015 and 2017), and Zika virus was spread the identical areas plus the South American countries where the disease was transmitted from Brazil.



**Oxitec CEO Hadyn Parry**




## Map







# Returning Travelers + Mosquitoes = Zika Risk



[About Zika in Wikipedia we read:](#) “There was an [epidemic in 2015 and 2016](#) in the Americas. The outbreak began in April 2015 in Brazil, and spread to other countries in South America, Central America, North America, and the Caribbean. In January 2016, the WHO said the virus was likely to spread throughout most of the Americas by the end of the year;<sup>[108]</sup> and in February 2016, the WHO declared the cluster of microcephaly and Guillain–Barré syndrome cases reported in Brazil – strongly suspected to be associated with the Zika outbreak – a Public Health Emergency of International Concern.<sup>[6][109][110][111]</sup> It was estimated that 1.5 million people were infected by Zika in Brazil,<sup>[112]</sup> with over 3,500 cases of microcephaly reported between October 2015 and January 2016.”



Zika definition According to CDC (Center for Disease Control and Prevention):

Zika is spread mostly by the bite of an infected *Aedes* species mosquito (*Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*). These mosquitoes bite during the day and night. Zika can be passed from a [pregnant woman](#) to her fetus. Infection during pregnancy can cause certain birth defects. There is no vaccine or medicine for Zika.

## Symptoms, Testing, & Treatment

### What we know

- Many people infected with Zika virus won't have symptoms or will only have mild [symptoms](#).
- Your doctor may order a blood or urine test to help determine if you have Zika.
- There is [no specific medicine](#) for Zika.

## Pregnancy

### What we know

- Zika virus can be passed from a pregnant woman to her fetus.
- Infection during pregnancy can cause a birth defect called microcephaly and other severe fetal brain [defects](#)
- Zika primarily spreads through infected [mosquitoes](#). You can also get Zika [through sex](#) without a condom with someone infected by Zika, even if that person does not show symptoms of Zika.
- There is [no vaccine to prevent or medicine to treat Zika](#).



**But who funded the Oxitec project to produce and release the mosquitoes? It was the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.**

**A watchdog group called Genewatch UK believes that Oxitec rushed the process without testing, and the situation that followed the release was rather unexpected.**

Loading...

Taking too long?

Reload document

|

[Open in new tab](#)

**According to Genewatch: “In June 2005, Oxitec was awarded US\$4.8m as part of an international consortium within the Grand Challenges for Global Health initiative, led by the Gates Foundation (in partnership with the Wellcome Trust, US Foundation for National Institutes of Health and Canadian Institutes for Health Research)”**





---

*“In 2005 Ruscoe joined the Executive and Scientific Committees of the WHO Innovative Vector Control Consortium (IVCC) to develop commercial partnerships and apply grants **(including \$50m from Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation)** to discover and deliver new chemical products and information systems for elimination of insect vectors of malaria and dengue. **Oxitec obtained its consortium funding from the Grand Challenges in Global Health, led by the Gates Foundation, in 2005. The IVCC is also funding Syngenta to develop new insecticides for malaria mosquito control.**”<sup>74</sup> “*

*“Beginning in 2007, Oxitec and the WHO Collaborating Centre for Vectors based at the Institute for Medical Research (IMR) in Malaysia conducted three intensive workshops on risk assessment of GM mosquitoes attended by delegates from Oxitec and the IMR and experts from the UK, Malaysia and India and later Thailand, USA, Zambia and Zimbabwe (in 2007, and July and November 2008”*

*“The first releases of GM mosquitoes anywhere in the world have taken place without any independent scrutiny or public consultation in the Cayman Islands. **The secrecy and timetable have been driven by the financial needs of Oxitec and its venture capital investors, rather than by input from its potential customers or people affected by the dengue virus.** Although Oxford University and the Wellcome Trust have funded Oxitec and its experimental trials, neither body appears to have imposed any ethical requirements on the company”*

*“Beginning in 2007, Oxitec and the WHO Collaborating Centre for Vectors based at the Institute for Medical Research (IMR) in Malaysia conducted three intensive workshops on risk assessment of GM mosquitoes attended by delegates from Oxitec and the IMR and experts from the UK, Malaysia and India and later Thailand, USA, Zambia and Zimbabwe (in 2007, and July and November 2008”*

---

As we see above just in 2005,

**1-** In 2005 WHO has received \$50 Million from Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation which is just one of many donations to the WHO by Gates. WHO is just a tool in Gates's hands when his money and his enterpriser self determine what and where for the WHO.

**2-** Oxitec has officially received \$ 4.8 Million from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and we are not aware whether that \$50 Million has gone to the Oxitec or not. However, even with only \$4.8 Million in 2005, it is a hell of money in Brazil, capable of buying and doing many things. Moreover, WHO, National Institutes of Health NHI, and Canadian Institutes for Health Research and the University of Oxford plus other investors were all partakers in this project.

**Oxitec had no “risk analysis” about its project. The company and its investors saw no necessity in asking the public consent and determined that they can execute the experiments without considering the possible**

---



---

**risks.** People must really wake up and break the lifelong habit of not being aware of their formal consent. It was Genewatch who raised the alert over the possibility of the mosquitoes mutation and survival into breeding age. So what will happen in case of survival of the GM mosquitos?

Genewatch was concerned because Oxitec's confidential company documents show 15% of GM insects survive to adulthood in the presence of low levels of tetracycline contamination, therefore, the modification may only provide a temporary reduction in the spread of the disease, which will have unknown effects on human and nature.

Dr. Helen Wallace, director of Genewatch, in an interview with *The Financial Times* said: *"Staff would be better employed using the well-established public health approach of removing mosquito breeding sites [water containers] rather than in placing GM mosquito larvae at intervals across a site. Plans to scale up releases of GM mosquitoes in dengue-endemic Brazil should be halted. **Authorities in other places where releases are planned, such as Florida and Panama, should also stop and think again.**"*

In 2015, Bill and Melinda Gates pushed for a vaccine for the Zika Virus. **Immediately the vaccine was patented by a pharmaceutical company in India:** Bharat Biotech International Limited in Hyderabad.

**The problem is that the company received funds from the Gates Foundation and it was just seven months BEFORE the WHO announces the Zika Pandemic.**



Is providing CoroFLU??

To be clear, a donation to a specific study by a specific company doesn't happen like growing potatoes. It is a very well sought-for study that the philanthropist himself needed to work on, therefore, proposes the study, places bets, and either elect or selects a company to advance it. Usually, the philanthropist, studies every company's profile (if he already hasn't it in mind), investigates all other projects accomplished by the same company and decides whether or not those projects are the evidence of the capability of the company to accomplish what he needs. **However, this is not the case for Mega philanthropists like the Rockefellers or their successors as the Gates Foundation. They choose a company that the company owner, scientists, and strategies are totally aligned with their philosophy.**

Oxitec, a name that we probably haven't heard until today is a HUGE corporate cartel. It has a gigantic influence and its fingerprints are anywhere that a eugenicist experiment is going on within nature. It doesn't answer anyone and if interviewed, it responds with ad hominem or just step sides the facts. It seems to have no border and no limit. How did Oxitec obtain this engulfing power?

**Pay attention that the pandemics are no jokes. Just like world wars and people behind them who have funded both sides of wars, pandemics are wars too. The war between a virus and a vaccine and is funded by the same people. Who funds who? And who benefits from who? The agent**





---

**provocateur (**virus**) is funded to agitate the target (**vaccine seekers**) but it is the target who fulfills the financial benefit.**

For Gates, it is a win-win game like an elementary-school simple logic but what part of it is difficult for an average person to grasp? **It is not the equation but the gravity of believing that there are vile people who insinuate their hateful depopulation agenda in daylight, shoving down our throat as OUR PROTECTION**, selling it as a solution to a disease that has killed hundreds of thousands but could have been inexistent and prevented at the first place. The equation is as simple as  $2 \times 2 = 4$ .

**They create a problem and through fear they create the dependence. A strong dependence on something that didn't exist two days before but now is demanded by the whole population. Zika virus has spawned the creation of a new vaccine which Gates himself donated \$19 Million to produce it. Why? Is it because of his great merciful soul who serves mankind? Well, I don't believe a man with a long history of obsessive depopulation speeches can be a savior, so what is the reason?**

**Profit! The answer is profit. By donating a \$19 million grant, he saves for himself the exclusive right to the vaccine and how much does a company earn by a vaccine that will be used globally? We are not talking about billions but trillions.**

**A single vaccine for a single pharmaceutical company has a \$30 Million income for a single year in a single country. On a global scale, we are talking about trillions, for a global pandemic we are talking about world dominance. So now you see it is not "Amen, God bless you" that pushes big-pharma to create vaccines, it is the greed of the ambitious goal-setter and the power grab where we don't value more than those mosquitoes. We are experimental in-flesh-resources.**

Today philanthropy is just a myth. No philanthropist is doing philanthropy which means to serve a "cause" by donating money. **Today's philanthropists are globalist list-makers who are shaping the world through their manipulative-monopolistic bribery. It is bribery. It is Financial terrorism.**

Zika has been around since at least 1947 when it was discovered. But it is also interesting that it was discovered by the Rockefeller Foundation. The Padrino foundation to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Zika is a sexually transmitted disease that in adults causes just severe flu but if a pregnant woman is contacted by the Zika virus, the fetus will be affected by microcephaly which is a lifelong condition and can never be cured.



Microcephaly is a condition where the baby's head is much smaller than expected. Actually, the head seems nonexistent and the child seems to have a big face without a head. But that is not all. According to CDC Babies with microcephaly can have a range of other problems, depending on how severe their microcephaly is.

CDC: Microcephaly has been linked with the following problems:

- Seizures
- Developmental delay, such as problems with speech or other [developmental milestones](#) (like sitting, standing, and walking)
- Intellectual disability (decreased ability to learn and function in daily life)
- Problems with movement and balance
- Feeding problems, such as difficulty swallowing
- Hearing loss
- Vision problems

## Range of Microcephaly Severity



Baby with Typical Head Size



Baby with Microcephaly



Baby with Severe Microcephaly







## **Bill Gates is just as tied to the Zika virus as to Covid\_19.**

**Now the beauty of the big picture is here: if the mosquitoes go wrong, Gates; humanity's Fake Savior, will create a vaccine and win big money. If otherwise, the GM mosquitoes create microcephaly in children, Gates will create a vaccine and win anyway. If mosquitoes don't die and don't prevent yellow fever, Gates will still produce a yellow fever vaccine. Danger, plague, and disease for Gates mean trillions of dollars and tones of money.**

---

In 2016, Global Justice Now published an investigative report, *Gated Development: Is the Gates Foundation always a force for good?* Authors were critical of the unchecked political power and influence on global health programs and agricultural policies of Bill Gates and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF):

Scroll down to see the entire report.



Loading...

Taking too long?

Reload document

|

[Open in new tab](#)

Default watermark

*“To say that the BMGF has become an important actor in international development would be an understatement. When it comes to global health and agriculture policies, two of its key grant areas, the BMGF has become probably the most influential actor in the world. It is also likely that Bill Gates, who has regular access to world leaders and is in effect personally bankrolling hundreds of universities, international organisations, NGOs and media outlets, has become the single most influential voice in international development.”*

*“But the BMGF’s increasing global influence is not being subjected to democratic scrutiny. Unlike governments, which are formally accountable to their electorates, the BMGF is a private US foundation, and remains unaccountable to public bodies (except for tax reporting purposes). Even worse, the BMGF appears to have bought the silence of academics, NGOs and the media who might otherwise be expected to criticize aspects of the foundation’s work.”*

---

**The World Health Organization (WHO) announced Zika Pandemic as a global health emergency on Feb. 1, 2016, but the public did not know its vaccine was patented.**

Zika virus (not Zika vaccine) has existed for many years and was patented by the Rockefeller Foundation. Make no mistake. The virus is patented in 1947 by the Rockefeller Foundation which was the only vaccine provider of the time and I fully explained in [RING OF THE CABAL](#), how they owned the monopoly of the Health and the Education systems. Anybody can buy the ATCC-LGC partnership of the Zika virus for 599 euros through The Rockefeller Foundation. The Zika Vaccine has another story and was patented in 2015, just seven months before the WHO announced the Zika pandemic on February 1st, 2016.

In 1947, the Rockefeller Foundation which was constantly experimenting on diseases and viruses around the world and inside rarely trafficked zones of jungles, mountains, and Sahara, perhaps searching for rare diseases that only THEIR vaccines can cure, placed a Rhesus monkey in a cage in the middle of the Zika Forest in Uganda to see what microbes can emerge from it. They were initially investigating the causes of yellow fever, and in the midway, they discovered this monkey. Rhesus 766 was the name of the monkey which would be known as the first carrier of the Zika virus.

Think about that. Can this be the process? They dig in jungles, cage animals to discover a new virus, and **patent the virus**. Then they create the vaccine and **patent the vaccine** and keep it safe. **Then through mosquitoes or direct contact, the virus goes viral**, many people die and the whole planet demands vaccines and boom! Here is the world's savior and his vaccine! Bloody as hell!








But how Oxitec and GM mosquitoes are connected to all the above? It is the continuation of the Rockefeller Zika research. **The Rockefeller Foundation was forever in search of new bacterias and viruses. Always with the justification “to cure rare diseases and possible emerging new ones”. Do they do it to have rare viruses to use as a weapon? You read and decide for yourself. They have a bank of all these different viruses. The GM mosquitoes was an arrogant “experiment” which occurred without the public consent just like hundreds of thousands of medical, environmental, and military experiments that are performed “ON” the public without their consent.**

This hookworm treatment in Karapa, India in the 1920s was one of many Rockefeller Foundation-funded campaigns adopted across 52 countries globally. Photo credit: Rockefeller Archive Center



If the mosquitoes can carry germs, viruses, bacterias, or anything, it could be measured through this experiment so **if it goes wrong, it will have its vaccine and if it doesn't go wrong, it will still be a success for another genome-related experiment or genetically modified creatures that can fly and transmit anything.**


With tetracycline in labs, 3.5 percent of these mosquitoes manage to reach adulthood despite carrying a deadly gene. But now nature itself produces tetracycline and it is seen in soil and surface water samples. **If there was no tetracycline in nature, these mosquitoes would die because they are arranged to die without. However, with tetracycline exposure, the mosquitoes thrive and can become a nightmare. So why did Oxitec not care about the fact that tetracycline will prolong the life of these GM mosquitoes? Why the company has not been held responsible yet?**



## Subsequently, mosquitoes became resistant to the Oxitec strategy.

They survived larvae carried genetic variants that protected them from Oxitec's lethal gene. Either because mosquitoes inherited genes that prevent the lethal protein from accumulating in its body, or tetracycline allowed them to survive high levels of the protein, all these traits will inherit over generations. That's the definition of genome editing. Whatever it is, it will be inherited by the next generations. **But none of these theoretical possibilities were tested before the release. Will all these prevent Oxitec from releasing these mosquitoes in Florida? It doesn't appear so at all.**





*(Key Haven. The British biotech company Oxitec planned to release three million male mosquitoes on Key Haven. Residents of Key Haven voted decisively against a referendum to allow genetically modified mosquitoes to be released in a test to control the spread of Zika virus, while residents of Monroe County voted to approve the test.)* [by Florida Politics](#)





An article on the NewYork Times reads:

## [“Concerns Are Raised About Genetically Engineered Mosquitoes](#)

By [Andrew Pollack](#)

Oct. 30, 2011

*“These mosquitoes are genetically engineered to kill — their own children.*

*“Researchers on Sunday reported initial signs of success from the first release into the environment of mosquitoes engineered to pass a lethal gene to their offspring, killing them before they reach adulthood.*

*“The results, and other work elsewhere, could herald an age in which genetically modified insects will be used to help control agricultural pests and insect-borne diseases like dengue fever and malaria.*



---

*“But the research is arousing concern about possible unintended effects on public health and the environment, because once genetically modified insects are released, they cannot be recalled.*

*“Authorities in the Florida Keys, which in 2009 experienced its first cases of dengue fever in decades, hope to conduct an open-air test of the modified mosquitoes as early as December, pending approval from the Agriculture Department.*

*“It’s a more ecologically friendly way to control mosquitoes than spraying insecticides,” said Coleen Fitzsimmons, a spokeswoman for the [Florida Keys Mosquito Control District](#).*

*“The Agriculture Department, meanwhile, is looking at using genetic engineering to help control farm pests like the Mediterranean fruit fly, or medfly, and the cotton-munching pink bollworm, according to [an environmental impact statement](#) it published in 2008. Millions of genetically engineered bollworms have been released over cotton fields in Yuma County, Ariz.”*

*“Yet even supporters of the research worry it could provoke a public reaction similar to the one that has limited the acceptance of genetically modified crops. In particular, critics say that Oxitec, the British biotechnology company that developed the dengue-fighting mosquito, has rushed into field testing without sufficient review and public consultation, sometimes in countries with weak regulations.”*

*“Even if the harms don’t materialize, this will undermine the credibility and legitimacy of the research enterprise,” said Lawrence O. Gostin, professor of international health law at Georgetown University.”*

---

**“Once the mosquitos are released, they cannot be recalled”. That’s the second problem and reading the above, you know that they know it, and they fear our reaction. Oxitec once released GM mosquitoes in April 2017, and people signed the petitions to stop it just after the release. They fear that it provokes a public reaction, but they don’t fear if it provokes an outbreak of a deadly disease. They really don’t care. They just care about the experiment, and see us as their guinea pigs. **Never again should it happen in any state in America or elsewhere if you are reading this. DO NOT CONSENT.****

Now let’s monitor the Bharat Biotech International Limited in Hyderabad, India who has patented the Zika vaccine.

---

[According to an article on NDTV](#), a national Indian newspaper, about the vaccine, Dr. Krishna Ella, Chairman, and Managing Director, Bharat Biotech Ltd said “In a best-case scenario his company can make one million doses of the vaccine in four months. He has sought the direct intervention of Prime Minister Narendra Modi to ensure that the vaccine’s development and



---

*delivery is fast-tracked, cutting through the red tape of regulatory clearances, pointing out that the vaccine can help countries such as Brazil, a fellow member of the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa) group, and pave way for what he called “vaccine diplomacy.”*

---

**Pay attention that Indian Prime Minister Modi has blocked **all** money from **all** Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation related non-profit organizations and company's since 2015 and now Soros paid-agitators are operating against Modi claiming these actions were against “freedom of expression??” What does it have to do with freedom expression? Do you notice how this freedom of expression is a hostage in the oligarchs' claws? For their pedophile agenda, live-art, Satanic drag queens in kindergartens and whatever related to disgrace and vulgarity, this freedom of expression becomes an umbrella and also for destruction and robbery like what these NGOs are doing but the same freedom of expression doesn't exist for us to express a simple idea either on social media or in the streets? So explain to me how do we have two systems of law? A system of law for princes and another system of law for peasants?**

**Now, this is where the news raises eyebrows. How did this Indian company get the live virus?**



**Dr. Krishna Ella**

**managing director of Bharat Biotech**



[On med India; A leading online provider of health information we read:](#) ***“An Indian biotech company, Bharat Biotech claims that it has developed two “candidate vaccines” against the Zika virus – while being hailed as a ‘made in India’ product – has alarmed some virologists.***

Krishna Ella, managing director of Hyderabad-based Bharat Biotech, announced last week that his company is probably the first in the world to file a “global patent” for its vaccines against the virus that is suspected to cause birth defects and neurological problems and is terrorizing Brazil and other countries in South America. The company said it started work on the vaccines a year ago using “live” Zika virus. But, despite repeated requests from IANS, neither Ella nor the company’s spokesperson revealed from where or when the company got this virus.

***“Normally one should not import any exotic virus into the country under any pretext,” Banerjee told IANS in an email. “Only the government of India’s biotechnology board or a similar body is authorized to give permission to import after ascertaining all aspects of the virus.”***

***“It is amazing how the said laboratory obtained the live virus, particularly when there is no record of isolation of Zika virus from the Indian subcontinent,” Banerjee said. The Zika virus is spread by the Aedes Aegypti species of mosquitoes that are abundant in India.***

***“Regarding the company getting the virus and making a vaccine, it needs to be carefully investigated,” Banerjee said, pointing out that “loopholes in the import of pathogenic agents may lead to national disaster”.***

One can import a virus from any source with approval from The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) or the department of biotechnology, ***“but unauthorized introduction of a virus which is not reported yet in India by anyone could be a serious regulatory problem as it can get into the environment easily under our unsupervised facilities”, Rao said in an email.***

*But inquiries reveal that the vaccine maker failed to follow the standard procedure for importing the live Zika virus whose potential threat to newborns forced the World Health Organization on*





---

February 1 to declare a global emergency.

***“We did not import the virus and Bharat (Biotech) got it themselves,”*** [The Indian Council of Medical Research \(ICMR\)](#) director-general Soumya Swaminathan told [IANS \(India’s largest independent newswire\)](#) in an email to a query if the company sought its permission to import. ***“There are safety concerns with Zika virus vaccine — so all steps in regulatory approval need to be followed,”*** she said.

***“Some scientists are impressed – and at the same time intrigued – by the Indian company’s foresight in trying to develop a vaccine for a disease that was not yet there. According to a report in the journal Science, “less than a year ago, Zika seemed too trivial for anyone to bother developing countermeasures”, and Brazil reported its first case (microcephaly) of Zika virus only in May 2015.”***

**“But Bharat Biotech says it started work on the vaccine as early as in 2014 and filed for patents for two vaccines in July 2015 itself,”** said one medical researcher who did not want to be named. **“This defies credibility.”**

*“But Bharat Biotech has dismissed this argument saying the company was already developing vaccines for chikungunya and dengue and it was natural to work also on a vaccine for Zika virus which too is spread by the same species of mosquito.*

*Although the Indian company has an early start in vaccine development, bringing the vaccine to the market will be years away, experts say. There is no monkey model yet to enable comparisons of candidate vaccines and human trials have to be done in endemic countries like Brazil, not in India.”*

Source: IANS

---

**Gates grant was donated to Bharat Biotech in June 2015, and Bharat filed for a patent in July, just ONE month later.**

So I look into Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and I see many grants dedicated to Bharat Biotech.

Gates

Foundation: <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/How-We-Work/Quick-Links/Grants-Database/Grants/2019/11/INV-003491>



---

# BILL & MELINDA GATES *foundation*

\$19,000,0000

**Date:** June 2015

**Purpose:** to support construction of a manufacturing facility eligible for World Health Organization prequalification, thereby ensuring availability and access to second generation liquid rotavirus vaccine for India and Gavi-eligible countries

**Amount:** \$18,500,000

NOVEMBER 23, 2019

[Bharat Biotech International, Ltd.](#)

**Purpose:** to increase production capacity of the typhoid conjugate vaccine and improve presentation of the fully liquid rotavirus vaccine, which will ensure that each vaccine is available to...

JUNE 17, 2015

[Bharat Biotech International, Ltd.](#)

**Purpose:** to support construction of a manufacturing facility eligible for World Health Organization prequalification, thereby ensuring availability and access to second generation liquid rotavirus...

JUNE 21, 2013

[Scientific Advisory Committee](#)

Our Global Health Program advisory committee comprises a group of esteemed experts from outside of the foundation who offer a wide range of experiences and perspectives. This group...

NOVEMBER 16, 2016

[NITI Aayog Lecture Series | Technology for Transformation](#)

Bill Gates NITI Aayog Lecture Series Technology for Transformation New Delhi, India  
November 16, 2016 AS DELIVERED Well, thank you so much for that kind introduction. Prime Minister...



---

In June 2015, the company receives about \$19 Million **to build a facility for the United Nations??** And in July 2015, it patents the Zika virus without any permission of the government, no Zika monkey in India, and no trace of it imported the” live virus” to work on. And before that, Both the Rockefeller and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations were fully engaged with the Zika virus right?

## A Big Alert To Florida: Stop Oxitec GM Mosquitos.

You don't want to repeat the Brazil outbreak in Florida, do you?

There is no need or health necessity to release these mosquitoes and their last release was just a disaster. The state should be asked to give its consent and no entity should be able to use Floridians as experimental mice in America. Oxitec is now awaiting FDA approval to conduct trials of its genetically modified mosquitoes in the Florida Keys for the second time. An outbreak of dengue fever in Key West several in 2015 pushed county officials to ask for a solution (same problem-solution formula) and the savior Oxitec agreed to estimate if mosquitoes here can help control the *Aedes aegypti* population. **Residents should NOT allow the company to release these genetically modified mosquitoes into the environment and should be extremely concerned as Zika can be the least that would come out of a new release.** You don't know what they have in mind. You don't know what they send out. A new incurable disease worse than microcephaly to go for another 5 years of vaccine research and new money? Zika, like dengue and chikungunya, could easily spread to warm climates in the U.S., like South Florida.

I am sure experimenting Zika is not your life issue when you wake up in the morning and have to pay your bills. So why should it exist in the first place? Claim your LEGAL CONSENT and stop any kind of experiment from now on. We don't need them!

Now I am seeing these teasing articles:

*“As Zika spreads in Florida, modified mosquitoes may be the best bet for staunching an epidemic. But are locals in support?”*

Or even this one:

## Miami releases millions of modified mosquitoes to stop Zika outbreak

But did you know it? Did you know that in 2018 they have released these mosquitos in Miami?



---

Did you grant your official consent? Why should we get informed only after the release and not before it?

An outstanding essay on US National Library of Medicine NCPI PMC after investigating the outbreaks, in the footnote section warns about the public consent and the necessity of informing before such kind operations. **(Read my conclusion after this essay)**

[The article reads:](#)

---

[Am J Bioeth](#). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 Aug 2.

Published in final edited form as:

*Am J Bioeth*. 2017 Sep; 17(9): 24–26.

doi: [10.1080/15265161.2017.1353170](https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2017.1353170)

PMCID: PMC6072261

NIHMSID: NIHMS1501108

PMID: [28829255](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28829255/)

## **Field Trials of Genetically Modified Mosquitoes and Public Health Ethics**

[David B. Resnik](#)

[Author information](#) [Copyright and License information](#) [Disclaimer](#)

See other articles in PMC that [cite](#) the published article.

[Lisa Lee's \(2017\)](#) target article “A bridge back to the future: public health ethics, bioethics, and environmental ethics” presents a compelling case for using a public health ethics framework for reconnecting biomedical ethics and environmental ethics. *She describes how biomedical ethics and environmental ethics have drifted apart since Van Rensselaer Potter characterized bioethics as global discipline in the 1970s that addresses ethical dilemmas in medicine, public health, and the environment.* She argues that public health ethics can serve as a bridge back to Potter’s vision of an inclusive bioethics because it deals with health at individual, community, and environmental levels.

Elsewhere (e.g. [Resnik 2009](#), [2012](#)) I have argued for basically the same thesis that Lee defends in her article. Accordingly, my goal in this commentary is not to criticize Lee’s viewpoint but to provide additional evidence for it by discussing an example that illustrates **the need for a bioethical perspective that addresses individual, community, and environmental concerns.** The example I have in mind is the use of genetically modified (GM)





---

mosquitoes to control mosquito-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue fever, and the Zika infection ([Resnik 2014](#), [Forthcoming](#)).

Mosquito-borne illnesses are a serious public health problem, especially in developing countries. Malaria kills over 400,000 people per year, mostly children living in Africa, and 20,000 people die annually from the hemorrhagic fever caused by the dengue virus ([World Health Organization 2014](#)). In 2015, millions of people in Central and South America contacted the Zika virus. Although most adults only develop a mild fever, rash, and headache from a Zika infection, the virus poses a significant threat to fetuses and can increase this risk of birth defects, including microcephaly ([Resnik 2012](#), [Forthcoming](#)).

Since the beginning of this century, researchers have been developing genetically modified mosquitoes to help prevent the spread of mosquito-borne diseases. One of the reasons why researchers have undertaken this task is that other methods of controlling mosquito populations have significant disadvantages ([Macer 2005](#), [World Health Organization 2014](#)). Pesticides, for example, can pose risks to human health and to non-human species (such as some species of birds, insects, and fish). Also, some species of mosquitoes are becoming resistant to pesticides. Eliminating mosquito breeding grounds (such as wetlands and ponds) can disrupt the ecosystem and threaten biodiversity ([Resnik 2012](#)).

The two approaches to producing GM mosquitoes that have gained the most attention are 1) modifying male mosquitoes so that they cannot produce viable offspring, and 2) modifying male and female mosquitoes so that they resist certain diseases or are incapable of transmitting them ([World Health Organization 2014](#), [Servick 2016](#)). Scientists working for the biotechnology company Oxitec have tested the first approach in field trials involving the release of thousands of mosquitoes into the wild; the second approach has only been tested in laboratory settings ([Resnik Forthcoming](#)). Oxitec's genetically modified *Anopheles aegypti*<sup>1</sup> male mosquitoes have a mutation that causes larva to die unless they are exposed to the antibiotic tetracycline. The GM males mate with the females in the wild but do not produce viable offspring. Because the GM mosquitoes are not viable, researchers must periodically reintroduce GM males to keep the population in check. *Oxitec has tested this approach in parts of Brazil, the Cayman Islands, and Malaysia. The Food and Drug Administration and the Monroe County, Florida, Mosquito Control District have approved field trials of Oxitec's mosquitoes, which have not taken place as of the writing of this commentary* ([Resnik Forthcoming](#)). Field trials of Oxitec's mosquitoes have reduced *Anopheles aegypti* mosquito populations 80-95% and dengue fever cases by as much as 91% ([Carvalho et al 2015](#), [Oxitec 2016](#)).

Both approaches can produce different benefits and risks for individuals, communities, and the environment. A benefit of the first approach for individuals and communities is that it can reduce the prevalence of mosquito-borne diseases by controlling mosquito populations with introducing pesticides into the environment. Since male mosquitoes do not bite, this approach poses no risk of infection from a GM mosquito bite. A potential environmental risk of this approach is that it could disrupt the food web by drastically reducing or eliminating the local population of *Anopheles aegypti* mosquitoes, which are an important source of food for amphibians, bats, birds, fish, insects, and reptiles. However, it is possible that these species could adjust to loss of this food source and that other mosquito species would fill the ecological niche vacated by *Anopheles aegypti* ([Macer 2005](#), [World Health Organization 2014](#)).

A benefit of the second approach for individuals and communities is that it could reduce the



---

prevalence of mosquito-borne diseases by making mosquitoes resistant to those diseases or incapable of transmitting them. *A potential risk for individuals and communities is that the genetic modification might not work as intended and could theoretically increase the prevalence of some types of mosquito-borne diseases. For example, a genetic modification might promote malaria resistance but increase yellow fever susceptibility. A potential environmental risk is that the gene drive<sup>2</sup> mechanism used to increase the prevalence of targeted genes in the population might be transmitted to other species by viruses, with unpredictable effects on human health and the environment ([World Health Organization 2014](#), [National Academy of Sciences 2016](#)).*

Both approaches raise significant ethical issues at the level of the individual, community, and environment, such as ([Macer 2005](#), [Resnik 2012](#), [Forthcoming](#), [World Health Organization 2014](#)):

**Individual consent:** Should individuals have the right to decide whether they will be exposed to GM mosquitoes? How should such a right be balanced against the community's interests in promoting public health? Should individual consent be required only for people who will be subjects of research studies related to a field trial?

**Community consent:** Should the affected community have the right to approve or disapprove a field trial of GM mosquitoes? How should researchers or public health officials engage the local community? Should outside groups (such as organizations opposed to genetically modified organisms) be allowed to prevent the community from implementing a strategy to promote the health of its members?

**Public health benefits and risks:** What are the public health benefits and risks of field trials of GM mosquitoes? How should benefits and risks be compared?

**Environmental risks:** What are the potential environmental impacts of a field trial of GM mosquitoes? How should these impacts be evaluated? Should public health be promoted at the expense of the environment? Does introducing GM mosquitoes into the wild set a dangerous precedent for environmental policy?

## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>This mosquito species can carry dengue, malaria, Zika, and yellow fever.

<sup>2</sup>**A gene drive mutation distorts Mendelian inheritance so that it increases in prevalence in the population irrespective of the effects of natural selection or random drift ([National Academy of Sciences 2016](#)). Genes linked to these mutations will also increase in prevalence.**

End of the essay

---

## Conclusion:

Non-profit organizations are ruling governmental organizations, international organizations, and



in cases, the government itself. What are the CDC and the WHO? Are they governmental or non-governmental? If they are governmental (which they are not), then why are they receiving mega donations from manipulative-monopolistic philanthropists who dictate where and how their money will be spent? If they are not governmental, with what power are they ruling the people? I have a document that proves the CDC is fundamentally paid by one of these foundations but I save it for another article. The WHO as you know is under the UN jurisdiction and the UN is where the oligarchs rule and we all have problems with the UN and I have written a lot about it. But here in this article **we talk about philanthropist foundations and federations and organizations which grow like mushrooms, sow their money into activities related to their agenda operated on human society in whole and harvest what they want through their eugenicist experiments where we the people become their guinea pigs without our consent.**

**From now on, the matter of our consent should be dealt with, with the highest priority, rigidity and seriousness. The legality of our consent is crushed under the boots of some companies and organizations and they should be stopped. They are at our neck and we must act yesterday. There is an insuppressible urge to stop unnecessary activities of these companies. The bedrock that sustains us is the constitution and it is overrun. But how would we do it when we don't know what is going on around us?**

Like it or not, our bare bones of existence are dramatically changed and while the average man was asleep, as a kid plays with his toys, these companies just enjoyed whatever crazy idea that came into their minds by experimenting it all around the world. Nowhere has been safe from their touch. **We are transported to a reality that is not what we wanted from life. It was not our goal but forcefully injected into our lives. It's time to change our routine behavior and expand our everyday tasks. We were living like an assembly-line worker who does his/her part and never knows how the full production operates.** Peasants helping the cycle of life just rotating. This is international looting and the looters do it before our eyes while saying "we do it good and we 'll do it more". This is a travesty and it needs to stop.

[In RING OF THE CABAL](#), I explained how these philanthropists work. I recall a piece here: (All Rights Reserved)

*"Considering the definition, there are a large number of good philanthropists doing a magnificent job engaging in charities in favor of positive changes and humanitarian activities like homeless, AIDS, cancer, real education and many more. No doubt in their good intentions and they do so mostly through charities and sometimes by setting up a non-profit organization. **But some people have hijacked the concept of philanthropy and mask their evil intentions behind euphemisms, and the patina of "public good's legitimacy" to donate monumental amounts of money to their evil causes and plague their intentions on the public. There is a natural antagonism between what they want and what is good for us but money is their***



---

**vehicle of destruction and empowers these oligarchs, and the road is a non-profit organization that acts as a channel.**

**The pattern is as follows: They establish and finance a nonprofit organization which will be their “Crime Network”. These organizations always have very appealing names and interesting and courageous mottos. *These oligarchs camouflaged as philanthropists start to donate their “own” money to their “own” nonprofit organizations, then hire people with good intentions who are mad at or misinformed on some issues in society, and they start to work. Later, surprisingly and being a suspicious observer, we notice what that organization is doing is quite the opposite of their motto and their slogans.* Some of them are so rich who can set up a series of non-profits to wash their fingerprints on the issues. How? *They establish the main non-profits and those main non-profits establish sub-non-profits. The philanthropist funnels his money into the main ones. When they need to create a cause, it is a sub-non-profit to come forward and announce the case. So the name of the philanthropist has nothing to do with the created cause. Then the main non-profit (acting as the bank) pours the money into the cause of the sub-non-profit and the sub-non-profit pays armies of publicists, judges, lawyers, politicians, journalists, and academics into the cause. Therefore, the name of the philanthropist or his money is nowhere near the cause, and nobody thinks of any connection to condemn it. If the cause is criminal, we just can’t put them in jail.***

*“First we got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That’s headed up to about 9 billion. Now if we do a great job on new VACCINES, health care, reproductive health services, WE LOWER THAT by perhaps 10 or 15 percent.”—Bill Gates*

*(What?? We lower what? The population by use of vaccines??)*

**As I wrote in [Agenda 2030: An Imminent Danger To Humanity](#) about “formal consent”, by “Formal Consent,” the government means simply publishing a short notice in a legal newspaper which actually nobody will see or care. For a criminal government, that little notice means “our consent”. There is no other way other than legal actions and suing these companies, protesting with the presence of the media and also signing petitions but petitions should be used only as a junction because we have seen many petitions and they work slowly and softly if they work at all. However none of the above is doable if we don’t end our procrastination and our life on Mars. We should gravitate to earth and to this reality that they have made for us. This is the demolition of our rights but they are working with very archetypal patterns that if we learn, we can cut their air like a knife.**

We should be angry.

Very angry and stop these tricky foundations.

**Date:** 12-05-2020